1620 HAGQRAH1 1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK 2 3 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, 4 16 CR 760 (RMB) V. Trial 5 AHMAD KHAN RAHIMI, 6 Defendant. -----x 7 8 New York, N.Y. October 16, 2017 9 9:25 a.m. 10 Before: 11 HON. RICHARD M. BERMAN, 12 District Judge and a jury 13 14 **APPEARANCES** 15 JOON H. KIM Acting United States Attorney for the Southern District of New York 16 EMIL BOVE 17 ANDREW DeFILIPPIS SHAWN CROWLEY 18 Assistant United States Attorneys 19 FEDERAL DEFENDERS OF NEW YORK 20 Attorneys for Defendant SABRINA SHROFF 21 MATTHEW LARSEN MEGHAN GILLIGAN 22 RACHEL MARTIN 23 ALSO PRESENT: Special Agent Joanna Maroudas, FBI Paralegal Ayushe Misra, U.S. Attorney's Office Paralegal Dante O'Connell, Federal Defenders of NY 24 Investigator Anna Finkel, Federal Defenders of NY 25

(Deliberations resumed; in open court)

THE COURT: Just to report, all the jurors are here and I assume they are deliberating. So if you leave your numbers or if you want to wait around with Christine, we will contact you as soon as we hear anything from the jury. OK?

MS. SHROFF: OK.

MR. BOVE: Thank you, Judge.

(Recess pending verdict)

(Jury note received at 10:38 a.m.)

THE COURT: We have a note requesting some additional information.

The note reads as follows: The jury would like to examine the government's list of swabs collected from 104

Elmora Avenue and Robert Mothershead's testimony of residue found at 104 Elmora Avenue and 23rd Street. So I will make a copy or I will show you copies of the note, and then you will tell me how you would like me to answer it.

(Pause)

THE COURT: Why don't you talk between yourselves.

Otherwise, I will send them a note back and say I will discuss with counsel and get back to you. Is that all right with you?

MR. BOVE: Thank you, Judge. That makes sense.

(Pause)

MS. SHROFF: Your Honor, we have reached a partial agreement on what we can send in and a partial disagreement.

HAGQRAH1

THE COURT: I am going to send this note in first, the one I just discussed with you.

MS. SHROFF: Your Honor, the note reads: The jury would like to examine the government's list of swabs collected from 104 Elmora Avenue, and, which is the plus sign, but I'm reading it out loud as "and" Robert Mothershead's testimony of residue found at 104 Elmora Avenue and 23rd Street. So the defense would ask that you inform the jury that there is no list of swabs collected from 104 Elmora Avenue, and I think the government agrees that there is no such list, and then provide to them both the direct and the cross of Robert Mothershead's testimony. That is how we read the note, and that is how the defense asks that it be answered to the jury. Thank you.

MR. BOVE: Your Honor, we think that both of those steps make sense, but that in addition to that, for the sake of efficiency, because the list is set forth in the trial testimony, that we should also provide the relevant portions of the testimony from the people who collected swabs at 104 Elmora and 23rd Street. I can provide that list to the Court if you would like.

THE COURT: There is a list?

MR. BOVE: There are witnesses who described the swabs that they took, so the substance of the information that the jury is asking for is in their testimony. So, from the government's perspective, for the sake of efficiency, we would

HAGQRAH1

provide the jury with that information.

MS. SHROFF: Your Honor, you can't read a jury note for the sake of efficiency. Efficiency does not trump everything else.

THE COURT: Come one. Just get to the merits.

MS. SHROFF: That is the merits. I think the jury has asked to examine --

THE COURT: They want a list. That's what you wanted to say. I got it. I got it.

MS. SHROFF: There isn't a list.

THE COURT: I got it. You said it three times.

MR. BOVE: I'm just not sure why we would withhold the information from the jury. They want to know about the swabs. There are witnesses who testified about the swabs. They collected them and I don't see why we withhold that information from the jury.

MS. SHROFF: Because it doesn't ask for testimony. It asks for a list.

THE COURT: OK. Thanks. Please be seated.

So I don't understand honestly the second half of your response. They didn't ask for all of this testimony, direct and cross. They asked specifically for Robert Mothershead's testimony of residue found at 104 Elmora Avenue and 23rd Street. That's all they asked for. They did not ask for the entire — and I wouldn't plan to send them the entire

```
1
      testimony.
 2
                          That makes sense to us.
               MR. BOVE:
 3
               THE COURT: Is there testimony about residue?
 4
               MR. BOVE: Yes.
 5
               THE COURT: Then you have to go through with the court
6
      reporter or the transcript and figure out which information
 7
      responds to that portion of the question. While you're doing
      that, I will give some thought to the first part.
8
9
               MR. BOVE:
                          Would your Honor like the names of the
10
      other witnesses who collected?
11
               THE COURT: No. I just have to figure that out.
12
               MR. BOVE:
                          Thank you, Judge.
13
               (Recess)
14
               (Jury note received 11:13 a.m.)
15
               THE COURT: So I got another note that says:
               Please disregard our previous note, we expect a
16
17
      verdict soon. So I am going to write back, and say I will
18
      disregard your previous note.
19
               (Recess pending verdict)
20
               (Jury not received 11:38 a.m.)
21
               THE COURT: Just to take a minute and explain the
22
      procedure. We did get a note that says: We have reached a
23
      verdict, and it is signed by the foreperson. You can take a
24
      look at that if you wish.
```

What my procedure is, is as follows, just so nobody

HAGQRAH1

is confused: We will call in the jury. Christine will take the verdict from the foreperson. I will look at it, hand it back to Christine. She will hand it back to the foreperson, and then I will ask Christine to poll the jury, including each individual juror as to whether that is their verdict. OK?

And then I will going to ask you to remain seated for awhile because I am going to go back, typically after I have received the verdict, and spend a minute or two with the jurors thanking them for their service.

OK, if we could have the jury.

(Jury present)

THE COURT: Please be seated. I'm going to ask the foreperson to hand the verdict to Christine. I will take a quick look at it, hand it back to her, she will give it back to you, and then Christine will poll the jury.

THE DEPUTY CLERK: In the matter of United States of America v. Ahmad Khan Rahimi, how do you the jury find as to the following questions:

Count One: Use of a weapon of mass destruction 23rd Street.

FOREPERSON: We find the defendant guilty.

THE DEPUTY CLERK: Count Two: Attempted use of a weapon of mass destruction - 27th Street.

FOREPERSON: We find the defendant guilty.

THE DEPUTY CLERK: Count Three: Bombing of a place of

25

```
public use - 23rd Street.
1
 2
               FOREPERSON: We find the defendant quilty.
 3
               THE DEPUTY CLERK: Count Four: Destruction of
 4
      property by means of fire or explosive - 23rd Street.
 5
               FOREPERSON: We find the defendant quilty.
 6
               THE DEPUTY CLERK: With regard to Count Four: Did the
 7
      government prove beyond a reasonable doubt that the defendant's
      conduct directly and proximately resulted in an injury to
8
9
      another person?
10
               FOREPERSON: Yes, they did.
11
               THE DEPUTY CLERK: Count Five: Attempted destruction
12
      of property by means of fire or explosive - 27th Street.
13
               FOREPERSON: We find the defendant guilty.
14
               THE DEPUTY CLERK: Count Six: Interstate
15
      transportation and receipt of explosives - 23rd Street and 27th
      Street?
16
17
               FOREPERSON: We find the defendant quilty.
18
               THE DEPUTY CLERK: With regard to Count Six, did the
19
      government prove beyond a reasonable doubt that the defendant's
20
      conduct directly and proximately resulted in an injury to
21
      another person?
22
               FOREPERSON: Yes.
23
```

THE DEPUTY CLERK: Count Seven: Use of a destruction destructive device during and if furtherance of a crime of violence - 23rd Street.

FOREPERSON: We found the defendant guilty. 1 THE DEPUTY CLERK: Count Eight: Use of a destructive 2 3 device during and in furtherance of a crime of violence - 27th 4 Street. 5 FOREPERSON: We found the defendant guilty. 6 THE DEPUTY CLERK: You may be seated. 7 Ladies and gentlemen of the jury, please listen to your verdict as it stands recorded: 8 9 Count One: Use of a weapon of mass destruction - 23rd 10 Street. 11 Guilty. 12 Count Two: Attempted use of a weapon of mass 13 destruction - 27th Street. 14 Guilty. 15 Count Three: Bombing of a place of public use - 23rd 16 Street. 17 Guilty. 18 Count Four: Destruction of property by means of fire 19 or explosive - 23rd Street. 20 Guilty. 21 Did the government prove beyond a reasonable doubt 22 that the defendant's conduct directly and proximately resulted 23 in an injury to another person. 24 Answer: Yes. 25 Count Five: Attempted destruction of property by

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

means of fire or explosive - 27th Street.

2 Guilty.

Count Six: Interstate transportation and receipt of explosives - 23rd Street and 27th Street.

Guilty.

Did the government prove beyond a reasonable doubt that the defendant's conduct directly and proximately resulted in an injury to another person.

Answer: Yes.

Count Seven: Use of a destructive device during and in furtherance of a crime of violence - 23rd Street.

Guilty.

Count Eight: Use of a destructive device during and in furtherance of a crime of violence - 27th Street.

Guilty.

(Jury polled; each juror responds in the affirmative)

THE DEPUTY CLERK: Your Honor, jurors polled. Verdict is unanimous.

THE COURT: OK. Well, so that concludes our trial, and the jury deliberations. You are, with my gratitude and the gratitude on behalf of everybody else who was involved in this case, dismissed, discharged as jurors.

If you don't mind, when you go back to the jury room, my practice is usually to come back and just say thanks in person, so I will in just a couple of seconds after you are

```
HAGQRAH1
```

back in the jury room.

Thank you very much for your patience, your time and your civic duty. It was a pleasure to work with you.

Thanks very much.

THE JURY: Thank you.

(Jury discharged)

THE COURT: Please be seated everybody. Thanks.

(Pause)

THE COURT: Please be seated.

Next order of business from my point of view is to set a sentencing date, which is usually 90 days out. I am going to set a sentencing date of Thursday, January 18, 2018, at 10:30 a.m.

I also intend to direct that a presentence investigation report be prepared by the probation department, and I would ask defense counsel if they wish to be present for any interview of Mr. Rahimi in connection with that report

MS. SHROFF: I do request to be present, your Honor.

THE COURT: So I direct that there be no interview of Mr. Rahimi unless defense counsel is present and hereby do order the presentence investigation report.

Mr. Rahimi, I say this to everybody who is going to be sentenced; that in my opinion it is in your best interest to cooperate with the probation department who prepare the presentence report, consulting, of course, with your attorney.

Since that report will be important in my decision as to what sentence will be imposed, please tell them whatever they ask consulting with your attorney, both the good things and even the not-so-good things, because if you don't disclose something that they ask about, and they were to find it out themselves, then they could say that you were not being truthful with them and that would not be helpful to you.

You and your counsel and the government have a right and will have the opportunity to examine the presentence report before the sentencing date and to file any objections. So I urge you to review that report carefully with your attorney and discuss it with your attorney before sentencing. If there are any mistakes in the report, please point them out to your lawyer so that she can point them out to me before sentencing, and so that I don't proceed on the basis of mistaken information.

In connection with the January 18 sentencing, I will ask defense counsel to make any submissions, if you can,
Ms. Shroff, by January 3.

MS. SHROFF: Sure, your Honor.

THE COURT: I will ask the government if you have any response to make that by January 10, if that's OK.

MR. BOVE: Yes, Judge. Thank you.

THE COURT: I think that's it, unless counsel has anything else for me at this time. I think that concludes our

```
HAGQRAH1
      work in this trial.
1
2
                Thank you very much for your help.
3
                MR. BOVE: Thank you, Judge.
                (Trial concluded)
 4
 5
6
 7
 8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```